

TREATMENT-FREE REMISSION SURVIVAL ANALYSIS IN CHRONIC MYELOID LEUKEMIA: COMPARISONS BETWEEN CLASSICAL AND MACHINE LEARNING TECHNIQUES

Koumantakis Emanuele¹, Fava Carmen², Abruzzese Elisabetta³, Annunziata Mario⁴, Bocchia Monica⁵, Caocci Giovanni⁶, Iurlo Alessandra⁷, Cavazzini Francesco⁸, Elena Chiara⁹, Galimberti Sara¹⁰, Luciano Luigia¹¹, Pietrantuono Giuseppe¹², Rapezzi Davide¹³, Sorà Federica¹⁴, Castagnetti Fausto¹⁵, Breccia Massimo¹⁶, Scortechini Anna Rita¹⁷, Intermesoli Tamara¹⁸, Stagno Fabio¹⁹, Scappini Barbara²⁰, Beltrami Giovanna²¹, Ciceri Fabio²², De Gobbi Marco², Leonetti Crescenzi Sabrina²³, Maggi Alessandro²⁴, Luzi Debora²⁵, Campiotti Leonardo²⁶, Bonifacio Massimiliano²⁷, Miggiano Maria Cristina²⁸, Tiribelli Mario²⁹, Cilloni Daniela², Berchialla Paola².

1 Department of Public Health and Paediatrics, University of Torino, Torino, Italy

2 Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy

3 Hematology Unit, S. Eugenio Hospital, Tor Vergata University, Roma, Italy

4 Division of Hematology, Cardarelli Hospital, Napoli, Italy

5 Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria, University of Siena, Siena, Italy

6 Department of Medical Sciences, University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy

7 Haematology Division, Foundation IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy

8 Department of Medical Sciences, Haematology and Physiopathology of Haemostasis Section, Ferrara, Italy

9 Hematology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy

10 Hematology Department, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

11 Division of Hematology, Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Napoli Federico II, Napoli, Italy

12 IRCCS, Centro Di Riferimento Oncologico Della Basilicata, Rionero in Vulture, Italy

13 S.C. Ematologia, ASO S. Croce e Carle, Cuneo, Italy

14 Hematology Department, University Cattolica del Sacro Cuore - Policlinico A. Gemelli, Roma, Italy

15 Institute of Hematology "L. & A. Seràgnoli", St. Orsola University Hospital, Bologna, Italy

16 Department of Cellular Biotechnologies and Hematology, University La Sapienza, Roma, Italy

17 Ematologia, Azienda Ospedaliero - Universitaria Ospedali Riuniti, Ancona, Italy

18 Hematology, Bergamo Hospital, Bergamo, Italy

19 Division of Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplant, AOU Policlinico—V. Emanuele, Catania, Italy

20 AOU Careggi, Firenze, Italy

21 Policlinico San Martino, Genova, Italy

22 San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milano, Italy

23 Ematologia, Ospedale S. Giovanni Addolorata, Roma, Italy

24 Division of Hematology, Hospital "S.G. Moscati", Taranto, Italy

25 A.O. Santa Maria - Terni S.C Oncoematologia, Terni, Italy

26 Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Università dell'Insubria, Varese, Italy

27 Divisione di Ematologia, Istituti Ospitalieri di Verona, Policlinico G.B. Rossi, Verona, Italy

28 Ematologia, Ospedale Vicenza, Vicenza, Italy

29 Division of Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, Department of Medical Area, University of Udine, Udine, Italy.

Introduction

Over the past 15 years, various research studies have examined the outcomes of patients with Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML) who achieved a sustained deep molecular response (DMR) and discontinued tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). These studies have demonstrated the safety of discontinuing TKI treatment in accordance with current recommendations.

Objectives

Our aim is to perform a survival analysis for treatment-free remission (TFR) duration assessment, while also examining differences between patients who discontinued a first-generation or second-generation TKI.

Methods

We have designed a retrospective and prospective observational study focusing on patients in Italy with CML who have discontinued TKIs. Patients with a minimum of 1 year of follow-up will be included in the analysis. We will evaluate the influence of the last administered TKI (either a first or second-generation inhibitor) prior to discontinuation on the loss of DMR. Several potential confounding and prognostic factors will be considered, including age, gender, Sokal score, ELTS risk, transcript type, duration of TKI therapy, time to DMR achievement, DMR duration, line of therapy at discontinuation, depth of molecular response, and reasons for discontinuation. We will employ causal machine learning techniques such as targeted minimum loss-based estimation (TMLE) and causal survival forests, based on multiple causal decision trees. The results will be compared to the inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) method in order to estimate the adjusted hazard ratio for DMR loss and the different TKI groups [1,2]. Additionally, a simulation study will be conducted to compare the performance of the estimators in terms of bias, coverage probability, type I and type II errors. Finally, we will discuss the advantages and disadvantages of the various approaches.

Results

Of the 467 patients enrolled so far, 54.0% were males, and, in particular, 54.5% of pts who discontinued a first-generation TKI (I gen) and 53.2% of pts who discontinued a second-generation TKI (II gen) ($p = 0.27$). Median age at discontinuation was 59.0 (IQR: 48.0-71.0) for the whole sample, 60.0 (49.0-69.3) for I gen, 58.0 (46.0-71.0) for II gen ($p = 0.65$). No differences between the two groups were found for Sokal Score, ELTS, and type of transcript. I gen group had a longer duration of the last TKI treatment before discontinuation than II gen ($p < 0.01$), of time to DMR ($p < 0.01$), and of DMR duration ($p < 0.01$). Median time of TFR duration was 20.8 (IQR: 6.3-52.2) months for the overall sample, 21.9 (6.1-54.3) for I gen group, and 20.8 (6.6-45.7) for II gen ($p = 0.62$). Regarding classical survival analysis, when performing the log-rank test, no differences were noted between the two groups (Figure 1). From preliminary Cox univariate regression models, higher age at discontinuation showed to increase the hazard ratio (HR) of treatment restart (HR on a difference of 23 years: 0.61; 95%CI: 0.46-0.81; $p < 0.001$), contrary to duration therapy before discontinuation (HR on a difference of 6 years: 0.67; 95%CI: 0.51-0.88; $p = 0.004$). Generation of the last TKI did not modify the risk of losing TFR ($p = 0.252$). Further analyses with causal machine learning techniques will be conducted.

Conclusions

Based on our population, we have not observed a significant impact of the type of TKI used at discontinuation on the loss of DMR. Estimators that effectively separate baseline covariates from treatment effects can mitigate potential bias resulting from post hoc selection of covariates. Machine learning approaches demonstrate robustness in modeling miss-specification.

Bibliography

1. Suk Y, Kang H, Kim JS. Random Forests Approach for Causal Inference with Clustered Observational Data. *Multivariate Behav Res.* 2021 Nov-Dec;56(6):829-852.
2. Gruber S, van der Laan MJ. Targeted minimum loss based estimator that outperforms a given estimator. *Int J Biostat.* 2012 May 18;8(1):Article 11.

Figure 1. Survival Kaplan-Meier curves for TFR loss in the I (blue curve) and II (red curve) generation TKI groups. Log-rank test p-value is provided.

